EXHIBIT

7



Four Penn Center, 13th Floor 1600 John F. Kennedy Blvd. Philadelphia, PA 19103-2808 215-587-1000 Main 215-587-1444 Main Fax www.postschell.com

Anthony L. Miscioscia

amiscioscia@postschell.com 215-587-1170 Direct 215-587-1444 Direct Fax

September 20, 2023

VIA REGULAR US MAIL AND CERTIFIED MAIL

15th Street Lighthouse, LP 1010 Hancock Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19123

CTW International Holding, LLC 1330 North 15th Street, Unit D Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19121

Re: Alba, et al. v. 15th Street Lighthouse, L.P., et al., Court of Common Pleas of

Philadelphia County, PA, May 2023 Term No. 01890

Named Insured: RM Development Partners

Claim No.: 4132146 Policy No.: CSU0170364

Dear Sir or Madam:

I represent The Cincinnati Insurance Specialty Underwriters Insurance Company ("Cincinnati") and write on Cincinnati's behalf concerning the action captioned, *Isabella Alba*, *et al. vs. 15th Street Lighthouse L.P.*, *et al.*, which is currently pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, May 2023, No. 01890, (the "Underlying Action"). Please be advised that the attached June 28, 2023 and July 17, 2023 letters were previously sent to you, but Cincinnati later learned of updated contact information. So, I am resending those letters to you at the updated addresses provided to Cincinnati.

We write to you as the persons responsible for receiving coverage-related communications on behalf of 15th Street and CTW International. If you are not so authorized, please let me know and provide the name and contact information for the appropriate person.

Following our review of underwriting materials, discussed herein, we write to further advise you as to Cincinnati's current coverage determination with respect to the Underlying Action

and above-captioned claim. In doing so, we incorporate Cincinnati's previous letters (attached) to 15th Street Lighthouse, LP ("15th Street") and CTW International Holding, LLC ("CTW International") as if fully set forth herein. However, as discussed in greater detail in this letter, based upon new information reviewed since sending the attached June and July 2023 letters, we write to (a) recognize 15th Street as a Named Insured to the Cincinnati Policy and (b) reiterate our offer, and CTW International's acceptance, of a defense to CTW International subject to a full and complete reservation of rights.

Cincinnati issued an insurance policy to first named insured, RM Development Partners ("RM"), providing certain commercial general liability coverage, and bearing policy number CSU0170364, for the period effective June 15, 2022 to June 15, 2023 and later cancelled effective November 15, 2022 (the "Cincinnati Policy"). As such, on behalf of Cincinnati, this letter addresses the coverage obligations, if any, under the Cincinnati Policy owed to 15th Street and/or CTW International in connection with the Underlying Action.

Please be advised that despite Cincinnati's belief that it does not owe any coverage to 15th Street or CTW International for the Underlying Action, Cincinnati has offered, and we understand that 15th Street and CTW International have accepted, the provision of a defense in the Underlying Action, subject to a complete reservation of rights as set forth in detail below and in our June 28, 2023 and July 17, 2023 letters – including but not limited to the right to deny coverage, withdraw from the defense, seek reimbursement of all defense costs as permitted by the below described Pennsylvania Defense Cost endorsement, and/or file a declaratory judgment action seeking a determination that Cincinnati has no duty to defend or indemnify, may withdraw from the defense of 15th Street and/or CTW International, and may obtain reimbursement of all defense costs incurred or paid by Cincinnati in connection with the Underlying Action. Also, should the Underlying Action proceed to trial, it may be necessary to seek an allocated decision/verdict through the use of a special verdict sheet to identify what portion of the verdict (and resulting judgment), if any, is allocable to damages that are not covered by the Cincinnati Policy. In addition, special interrogatories may be necessary to resolve factual issues that affect the availability of coverage under the Cincinnati Policy. Cincinnati reserves all rights in connection with pursuing a special verdict sheet, special interrogatories, and/or other proceedings to achieve these objectives.

As 15th Street and CTW International are aware, Cincinnati has retained the following defense counsel to represent and protect the interests of 15th Street and CTW International in the Underlying Action:

Michelle Coburn, Esq.
WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP
215-864-7000
coburnm@whiteandwilliams.com

As 15th Street and CTW International have accepted Cincinnati's offer to defend, we request that you continue to provide assigned defense counsel with your full cooperation.

In addition to counsel assigned by Cincinnati, 15th Street and CTW International are entitled to retain their own counsel, at their own expense, to work with assigned defense counsel, for the purpose of protecting against any liability that may not be covered by the Cincinnati Policy (including any uncovered damages or liability in excess of the Cincinnati Policy limits).

We also request that 15th Street and CTW International advise us whether they continue to look to Cincinnati for defense and indemnity following their review of this letter and the below discussion of the Assault or Battery Exclusion. As explained below, Cincinnati believes that the Assault or Battery Exclusion precludes all coverage for this matter and Cincinnati requests your written confirmation that Cincinnati may withdraw from your defense due to that exclusion.

If you have any questions or believe this discussion to be incomplete or incorrect, please let me know as soon as possible. Similarly, if you disagree with any statements set forth below, or have any additional information or material that you would like Cincinnati to consider, please let me know.

Additionally, Cincinnati continues to investigate the Underlying Action, and requests your assistance in gathering additional information in order to comprehensively evaluate this claim. To this end, we have included in bold and underline below certain questions related to our ongoing investigation, and request that you provide a response to the same as soon as possible.

I. THE CINCINNATI POLICY

The Cincinnati Specialty Underwriters Insurance Company issued a commercial general liability policy (the "Cincinnati Policy") to its first named insured, RM Development Partners, bearing policy number CSU0170364. The Policy initially was effective for the period June 15, 2022 to June 15, 2023; however, the Policy was cancelled effective November 15, 2022. The Cincinnati Policy provides certain coverage subject to a \$1,000,000 each occurrence limit, a \$2,000,000 general aggregate limit, and a \$2,000,000 products completed operations aggregate limit. Coverage is also subject to a \$2,500.00 per occurrence deductible applicable to bodily injury liability and/or property damage liability combined. Defense costs and expenses are subject to the deductible.

II. <u>COVERAGE DETERMINATION</u>

A. Who Is An Insured

The Cincinnati Policy was issued to first named insured, RM Development Partners. RM Development Partners, however, is not a party to the Underlying Action.

1. <u>15th Street Lighthouse, LP</u>

Previously, Cincinnati explained that "15th Street Lighthouse, LP" is not expressly listed in the Cincinnati Policy as an insured. However, Cincinnati also acknowledged that the Cincinnati Policy contains a named insured endorsement that extends the named insured to include a number of additional expressly identified named insureds. One of those additional named insureds is "15th Street Lighthouse, <u>LLC</u>". Cincinnati, as previously discussed, also has in its possession a listing agreement (provided to Cincinnati by the Goodheart Firm, counsel for PIP and JBMP) between 15th Street Lighthouse <u>LP</u> and JBMP Group. Similarly, the Real Property Management Agreement (also provided by the Goodhart firm) identifies the owner as "15th Street Lighthouse LP".

It appears that "15th Street Lighthouse, LLC" does not exist. Therefore, as "15th Street Lighthouse, LLC" does not appear to exist, Cincinnati will recognize "15th Street Lighthouse, LP" as a Named Insured to the Cincinnati Policy, instead of "15th Street Lighthouse, LLC". <u>If you have information to the contrary, please provide the same to me at the contact information below immediately.</u>

As Cincinnati is recognizing "15th Street Lighthouse, LP" as a Named Insured to the Cincinnati Policy, please be advised that the Cincinnati Policy also contains a Pennsylvania Changes - Defense Cost endorsement (form IL 01 20 10 13) which permits Cincinnati to seek reimbursement of its defense costs from 15th Street. Please see below for a discussion of the Pennsylvania Changes - Defense Cost endorsement and Cincinnati's corresponding reservation of rights. If you believe that 15th Street Lighthouse, LP is not a Named Insured to the Cincinnati Policy, please let us know and explain whether you believe that 15th Street Lighthouse, LP is entitled to any coverage under the Cincinnati Policy. Should 15th Street Lighthouse, LP dispute that it is a Named Insured, Cincinnati reserves all rights to deny coverage from 15th Street Lighthouse, LP to the extent it is not an insured.

2. <u>CTW International Holding, LLC</u>

Defendant CTW International is not expressly listed in the Cincinnati Policy as a Named Insured or other insured. The Policy's named insured endorsement lists several other CTW entities as named insureds. But the particular CTW entity sued, CTW International, is not one of them.

Previously, Cincinnati denied coverage to CTW International on the ground that it is not a named insured or otherwise expressly identified insured to the Cincinnati Policy. Cincinnati then

offered a defense to CTW International (subject to a full reservation of rights) after it was brought to Cincinnati's attention that CTW International is a general partner in 15th Street Lighthouse, LP.

As such, at this point in time, Cincinnati reiterates its offer to defend CTW International pursuant to the following provision – while reserving all rights to investigate this issue further and to deny coverage (and obtain reimbursement):

SECTION II – WHO IS AN INSURED

1. If you are designated in the Declarations as:

* * * *

b. A partnership or joint venture, you are an insured. Your members, your partners, and their spouses are also insureds, but only with respect to the conduct of your business.

Please be advised that CTW International's status as an insured is limited to the conduct of 15th Street's business – to the extent that the Underlying Action does not contain allegations against CTW International for liability concerning 15th Street's business, CTW International is not an insured under the Policy.

Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage to the extent that CTW International is not an insured to the Policy.

B. Coverage A – Bodily Injury And Property Damage Liability

The Cincinnati Policy provides certain coverage for "those sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as damages because of 'bodily injury' or 'property damage' to which this insurance applies. Cincinnati has the right and duty to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking those damages. However, Cincinnati does not have a duty to defend against any suit seeking damages to which the insurance does not apply.

The Insuring Agreement to Coverage A, as amended by the LIMITATION OF COVERAGE TO DESIGNATED PREMISES endorsement, provides in part:

- b. This insurance applies to "bodily injury" and "property damage" only if:
 - (1) "bodily injury" or "property damage" is caused by an "occurrence" that takes place at the premises designated in the CSGA403 Commercial General Liability Premises Schedule.
 - (2) The "bodily injury" or "property damage" occurs during the policy period; and

The Cincinnati Policy defines "bodily injury" as "bodily injury, sickness or disease sustained by a person, including death resulting from, any of these at any time."

The Policy defines an "occurrence" as "an accident, including continuous or repeated exposure to substantially the same harmful conditions".

The Policy defines "property damage" as:

- a. Physical injury to tangible property, including all resulting loss of use of that property. All such loss of use shall be deemed to occur at the time of the physical injury that caused it; or
- b. Loss of use of tangible property that is not physically injured. All such loss of use shall be deemed to occur at the time of the "occurrence" that caused it.

For the purposes of this insurance, electronic data is not tangible property.

As used in this definition, electronic data means information, facts or programs stored as or on, created or used on, or transmitted to or from computer software, including systems and applications software, hard or floppy disks, CD-ROMs, tapes, drives, cells, data processing devices or any other media which are used with electronically controlled equipment.

Assuming that CTW International is an insured, Cincinnati recognizes that the Underlying Action potentially alleges injury and/or damage that fall within the Insuring Agreement. That, however, does not mean that Cincinnati owes any coverage. One or more of the exclusions discussed below appear to preclude all coverage for the Underlying Action. Nonetheless, at this point in time, Cincinnati will continue to defend 15th Street and CTW International – subject to this reservation of rights.

Cincinnati also reserves the right to deny coverage for any damages sought or obtained by the Plaintiffs that are not because of "bodily injury" or "property damage" as defined in the Policy. Much, if not all, of what Plaintiffs seek is for emotional distress type damages. Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage to the extent that any such damages do not constitute "bodily injury" as defined in the Policy. Similarly, while the Plaintiffs allege that certain of their tangible property was stolen, Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage to the extent that any such damages do not constitute "property damage" as defined in the Policy. For instance, to the extent that Plaintiffs' electronic devices were taken, electronic data contained therein is not tangible property and does not constitute "property damage".

C. Assault Or Battery Exclusion Endorsement

Cincinnati reserves all rights to deny coverage based on the Policy's Assault or Battery exclusion endorsement (form CSGA 301 02 21). That exclusion provides:

A. The following exclusion is added to Paragraph 2., Exclusions of SECTION I - COVERAGES, COVERAGE A - BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY and Paragraph 2., Exclusions of SECTION I - COVERAGES, COVERAGE B - PERSONAL AND ADVERTISING INJURY LIABILITY:

Assault Or Battery

This insurance does not apply to "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" that in anyway, in whole or in part, arises out of an actual, threatened or alleged:

- 1. Assault or battery whether caused by or at the instigation or direction of any insured, their employees, patrons or any other person;
- 2. Failure of any insured or anyone else for whom any insured is legally responsible to prevent or suppress assault or battery; or
- Failure to provide an environment safe from assault or battery, including but not limited to the failure to provide adequate security, or failure to warn of the dangers of the environment that could contribute to assault or battery; or
- 4. Failure to render or secure medical treatment or care necessitated by any assault or battery; or
- 5. Negligent investigation or reporting or failure to report any assault or battery to proper authorities; or
- 6. Negligent:
 - a. Employment;
 - b. Supervision;
 - c. Training;
 - d. Retention;

of a person for whom any insured is or ever was legally responsible and whose conduct would be excluded by the Assault Or Battery exclusion above.

- B. For the purpose of this endorsement the words assault and battery are intended to include, but are not limited to, sexual assault.
- C. Exclusion 2.a. of SECTION I -COVERAGES, COVERAGE A -BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY is deleted in its entirety and replaced by the following:
 - 2. a. Expected Or Intended Injury

"Bodily injury" or "property damage" expected or intended from the standpoint of the insured.

As previously stated in its June 28, 2023 and July 17, 2023 letters, Cincinnati believes that this exclusion bars all coverage – including a defense and indemnity – for the Underlying **Action and the Contractual Tender.** The exclusion is broadly worded – to apply not only to actual assault or battery but also to "alleged" assault or battery. The exclusion also is not limited only to those damages proximately or solely caused by an assault or battery but also to injury that "in any way, in whole or in part, arises out of" assault or battery (or the other items listed in subparts 1.- 6. of the exclusion). In Pennsylvania, the phrase "arising out of" has been construed broadly, even when used in a policy exclusion, to mean "but for" causation. As such, here, if the Plaintiffs would not have been injured but for the things listed in subparts 1.- 6. of the exclusion, then the exclusion applies. The exclusion also is not limited to assault or battery by an insured but also applies to assault or battery caused by "any other person", which would include, here, the two assailants. And, the exclusion is not limited to only assault or battery, but also includes the other things described in subparts 1.- 6. – which include the types of things expressly alleged in the Underlying Action, such as the failure of "any insured or anyone else for whom any insured is legally responsible to prevent or suppress assault or battery" and the "failure to provide an environment safe from assault or battery, including but not limited to the failure to provide adequate security" The Complaint alleges these very failures. In paragraph 148 of their Complaint, the Plaintiffs aver that:

- 148. The negligence of the Defendants individually and through their agents consisted of one or more of the following:
 - a) failing to exercise reasonable care to ensure that the security measures Defendants offered to tenants and guests were properly performed;
 - b) failing to provide reasonable and adequate security measures for the premises;

- c) failing to exercise reasonable care in ownership of the property, specifically in providing and maintaining a functional, locked exterior gate;
- d) failing to exercise reasonable care in management of the premises, specifically in providing and maintaining a functional, locked exterior gate;
- e) failing to exercise reasonable care in operating the premises, specifically in providing and maintaining a functional, locked exterior gate;
- f) failing to exercise reasonable care in leasing the premises, specifically in providing and maintaining a functional, locked exterior gate;
- g) failing to exercise reasonable care in construction of the property, specifically in providing a functional, locked exterior gate;
- h) refusing to correct a known and verifiable defect in security;
- i) failure to have sufficient lighting on the premises;
- j) failure to implement functional locks on the windows;
- k) failure to provide a reasonably safe premises for Plaintiffs;
- 1) failure to provide appropriate and sufficient repairs to the exterior gate to ensure the safety of Plaintiffs and persons similarly situated;
- m) failure to hire adequate property management;
- n) failure to properly train property management;
- o) failure to hire adequate repair personnel;
- p) failure to provide appropriate security measures;
- q) failure to promptly and effectively respond to requests for repair;
- failure to have in place policies and procedures which could have been followed and which would have ensured that repairs were promptly and adequately addressed and completed;
- s) negligent selection and/or monitoring of independent contractors who provided repair services;
- t) failure to inspect the property to determine the existence of dangerous conditions such as the lack of a functional, locked exterior gate;

- u) failure to inspect the property to determine the existence of dangerous conditions such as the lack of functional locks on windows; and
- v) failure to inspect the property to determine the existence of dangerous conditions such as the lack of lighting in the alleyway.

Cincinnati believes that any damages caused by the foregoing alleged negligence (as well as the amounts sought via the Contractual Tender) are excluded by the Assault or Battery Exclusion. But for (a) the assault or battery caused by the assailants, (b) the alleged failure of Defendants to prevent the assault or battery; and (c) the alleged failure of the Defendants to provide an environment safe from assault or battery, including but not limited to the failure to provide adequate security, the Plaintiffs would not have suffered at least some, if not all, of their alleged injuries/damages. As such, Cincinnati reserves all rights to deny coverage, including a defense, based on this exclusion.

Cincinnati requests that 15th Street and CTW International agree that the above exclusion precludes all coverage for the Underlying Action, that Cincinnati has no duty to defend or indemnify 15th Street or CTW International in the Underlying Action, and thus that Cincinnati may withdraw its defense of 15th Street and CTW International. <u>If you will so agree, please send me written confirmation of your agreement</u>. Otherwise, should you not so agree, Cincinnati will continue to defend 15th Street and CTW International subject to a complete reservation or rights as further detailed herein, including the right to commence coverage litigation seeking a declaration that the Assault or Battery Exclusion bars all coverage for the Underlying Action.

D. Defense Cost Reimbursement Endorsement

The Cincinnati policy also contains a Pennsylvania Changes - Defense Cost endorsement (form IL 01 20 10 13) which provides in part:

A. If we initially defend an insured ("insured") or pay for an insured's ("insured's") defense but later determine that none of the claims ("claims"), for which we provided a defense or defense costs, are covered under this insurance, we have the right to reimbursement for the defense costs we have incurred.

The right to reimbursement under this provision will only apply to the costs we have incurred after we notify you in writing that there may not be coverage and that we are reserving our rights to terminate the defense or the payment of defense costs and to seek reimbursement for defense costs.

Cincinnati reserves the right to seek reimbursement of defense costs from 15th Street, CTW International, and any other insured to the extent provided by this endorsement. As explained in this letter, Cincinnati reserves its right to terminate the defense or the payment of defense costs and to seek reimbursement for defense costs.

E. Contractual Liability Exclusion

Both the Coverage A and Coverage B coverage parts to the Cincinnati Policy contain a Contractual Liability exclusion, providing that coverage does not apply to bodily injury, property damage, or personal or advertising injury for which the insured is obligated to pay damages by reason of the assumption of liability in a contract or agreement – unless the insured would be liable in the absence of the contract or agreement, or the insured assumed liability in an "insured contract" as defined in the Policy.

To the extent any entity seeks contractual defense and indemnity from 15th Street and/or CTW International relating to the Underlying Action, Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage to 15th Street and/or CTW International to the extent that the liability at issue was not assumed in an "insured contract" (as CTW International may not be an insured on the Policy and thus the contract is not an "insured contract").

F. Punitive Or Exemplary Damages Exclusion

The Cincinnati Policy contains a Punitive Or Exemplary Damages Exclusion, contained on page 3 of the Changes To Commercial General Liability Coverage Form endorsement (form CSGA 401 02 13). That exclusion, which applies to both Coverage A and Coverage B states that the insurance does not apply to:

B. Any claim of indemnification for punitive or exemplary damages. If a suit is brought against any insured for a claim covered by this Coverage Part, seeking both compensatory and punitive or exemplary damages, we will provide a defense to such action. However, we will not have an obligation to pay for any costs, interest, or damages, attributable to punitive or exemplary damages. If state law provides for statutory multiple damage awards, we will pay only the amount of the award before the multiplier is added.

Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage for any damages preclude by this exclusion.

G. <u>Habitational Exclusion</u>

The Cincinnati Policy also contains a Habitational Exclusion endorsement (form CSGA 362 06 08), which provides that the coverage does not apply to bodily injury, property damage, or personal advertising injury caused by or arising out of, among other things, any actual or alleged violation of any building code, ordinance or statute.

Cincinnati reserves the right to deny coverage based on this exclusion to the extent that any of the alleged deficiencies with the Premise, such as the defective gate or other issues complained about in the underlying Complaint, are later demonstrated to constitute a violation of any building code, ordinance or statute.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Cincinnati agrees to provide a defense to 15th Street and CTW International in the Underlying Action, subject to a complete reservation of rights. Cincinnati reserves the right to deny or limit coverage to the extent that one or more of the Cincinnati Policy exclusions apply to the claims and damages alleged in the Underlying Action and/or Contractual Tender.

The foregoing is not intended as a waiver, modification or alteration of any of the terms, conditions, limitations, endorsements or exclusions of any policy issued by Cincinnati, whether or not identified in this letter. Cincinnati does not waive, and shall not be estopped from asserting, any defenses available under any Cincinnati policy or at law. Any defense, investigation, claims handling, or other conduct by Cincinnati or its employees/agents or attorneys regarding this matter is subject to all the terms, conditions, limitations, endorsements or exclusions of the Cincinnati Policy and/or at law, and is undertaken subject to a full reservation of rights without any further obligation.

The conclusions set forth in this correspondence are based on the information gathered and the investigation undertaken, to date. Such information and investigation will not waive or set aside any of the terms or conditions of the Cincinnati Policy, or policies issued to the named insured, or any other insured claiming coverage in this matter. Nor will such review and evaluation of information or additional information, or material provided or obtained from any source, waive or set aside any policy conditions or positions taken in this letter or any other correspondence from us on this claim. If you have not done so previously, please provide notice of the Underlying Action to any other insurers, whether primary, excess or umbrella, who may provide coverage for this matter. We ask that you provide copy of those notices and any responses thereto at your earliest convenience.

Cincinnati specifically reserves the right to bring an action to declare the obligations and responsibilities, if any, of the parties to the Cincinnati Policy.

If you feel that we are incorrect in our assessment of the coverage issues or if you have questions, comments, or objections regarding the contents of this letter or desire to discuss further the content of this letter or the claim in general, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Anthony L. Miscioscia

int, 2 him

ALM/cd

Encls.

cc: Mr. John Lucas (via email)

RM Development Partners 1541 Ridge Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19130

The Plexis Group, LLC 21805 W. Field Pkwy, Suite 300 Deer Park, IL 60010 Attn: Jonie Gould

Mark B. Goodheart, Esq. The Goodheart Firm, P.C. 529 South 16th Street, Suite 100 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146